

BINOMIAL PREDICTORS

VLADIMIR SHEVELEV

ABSTRACT. For a prime p and nonnegative integers n, k , consider the set $A_{n, k}^{(p)} = \{x \in [0, 1, \dots, n] : p^k \mid \binom{n}{x}\}$. Let the expansion of $n + 1$ in base p be: $n + 1 = \alpha_0 p^\nu + \alpha_1 p^{\nu-1} + \dots + \alpha_\nu$, where $0 \leq \alpha_i \leq p - 1$, $i = 0, \dots, \nu$. Then the number n is called a *binomial predictor in base p* , if $|A_{n, k}^{(p)}| = \alpha_k p^{\nu-k}$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, \nu$. We give a full description of the binomial predictors in base p .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let p be a prime. For nonnegative integers n, k , consider the set

$$(1) \quad A_{n, k}^{(p)} = \{x \in [0, 1, \dots, n] : p^k \mid \binom{n}{x}\}.$$

Quite recently (2008), W.B. Everett [1] solved the following important problem.

Question 1. *How, knowing n , to find the finite sequence*

$$|A_{n, 0}^{(p)}|, |A_{n, 1}^{(p)}|, \dots$$

(of course, without the direct calculations)?

Due to generality, the Everett's formula is sufficiently complicated. In this paper we indicate an infinite set of n 's, for which Question 1 has especially simple solution which immediately follows from the expansion of $n + 1$ in base p . Conversely, in the limits of this set, knowing the sequence $\{|A_{n, k}^{(p)}|\}$, we can "predict" the expansion of $n + 1$ in base p . In the connection with this, we introduce the following notion.

Definition 1. *Let the expansion of $n + 1$ in base p be: $n + 1 = \alpha_0 p^\nu + \alpha_1 p^{\nu-1} + \dots + \alpha_\nu$, where $0 \leq \alpha_i \leq p - 1$, $i = 0, \dots, \nu$. Then the number n is called a *binomial predictor in base p* , if $|A_{n, k}^{(p)}| = \alpha_k p^{\nu-k}$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, \nu$.*

Example 1. *It is easy to see that $n = 0$ is a binomial predictor in every base p .*

Indeed, $|A_{0, 0}^{(p)}| = 1$, that is the binary expansion of 1 in every base.

Example 2. *Let $p = 2$, $n = 11$.*

Then $n + 1 = 8 + 4$. The row of the binomial coefficients $\left\{\binom{n}{x}, x = 0, 1, \dots, 11\right\}$ is:

$$1, 11, 55, 165, 330, 462, 330, 165, 55, 11, 1.$$

Here $|A_{11,0}^{(2)}| = 8$, $|A_{11,1}^{(2)}| = 4$, $|A_{11,k}^{(2)}| = 0$, $k \geq 2$. Thus, by the definition, 11 is a binomial predictor in base 2.

Example 3. Let $p = 3$, $n = 23$. Then $n + 1 = 2 \cdot 3^2 + 2 \cdot 3$.

Here $|A_{23,0}^{(3)}| = 18$, $|A_{23,1}^{(3)}| = 6$, $|A_{23,k}^{(3)}| = 0$, $k \geq 2$. Thus 23 is a binomial predictor in base 3.

Our aim is to give a full description of binomial predictors in base p .

Definition 2. A nonnegative integer n is called a Zumkeller's number in base p (in the case of $p = 2$ see sequence A089633 in [12]), if either it is 0 or its expansion in base p has all digits $p - 1$, except, maybe, one; if the exceptional digit is the first (it can occur only in case of $p \geq 3$), then it could take an arbitrary value from $1, \dots, p - 2$; otherwise, it is only $p - 2$.

Our result is the following.

Theorem 1. $n \geq 0$ is a binomial predictor in base p if and only if it is a Zumkeller's number in the same base.

2. SOME CLASSICAL RESULTS ON BINOMIAL COEFFICIENTS

The binomial coefficients play a very important role in numerous questions of number theory. For example, it is very known proof of the beautiful Chebyshev's theorem, using the binomial coefficients (see, for example, a Finsler's version of the proof in [13]). A connection between some questions of divisibility of the binomial coefficients and the old conjecture of the infinity of twin primes is appeared in the author's article [10].

The first important contributions into theory of binomial coefficients belong to Legendre (1830), Kummer (1852) and Lucas (1878). Let p a prime and $a_p(n)$ be such exponent that

$$(2) \quad p^{a_p(n)} \parallel n.$$

Let, furthermore,

$$(3) \quad n = n_0 p^m + n_1 p^{m-1} + \dots + n_m, \quad 0 \leq t_i \leq p - 1.$$

be the expansion of n in base p . Denote

$$(4) \quad s_p(n) = n_0 + n_1 + \dots + n_m,$$

A.-M. Legendre [7, p.12] empirically noticed that (in our notations)

$$(5) \quad a_p(n!) = (n - s_p(n))/(p - 1).$$

A proof see, e.g., in [9]. From (5) we immediately obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} a_p\left(\binom{n}{x}\right) &= a_p(n!) - a_p(x!) - a_p((n-x)!) = \\ &= ((n - s_p(n)) - (x - s_p(x)) - (n - x - s_p(n-x)))/(p - 1) = \\ (6) \quad &= (s_p(x) + s_p(n-x) - s_p(n))/(p - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Example 4.

Since $s_2(2n) = s_2(n)$, then for the central binomial coefficients we find

$$(7) \quad a_2\left(\binom{2n}{n}\right) = s_2(n); \quad a_2\left(\binom{2n+1}{n}\right) = s_2(n+1) - 1.$$

Notice that in [11] was posed a question which remains open up to now.

Question 2. *Does the diophantine equation $s_p(n) = s_q(n)$, where $p \neq q$ are fixed primes, have infinitely many solutions?*

It easy to see that, by (6), the following equalities are equivalent:

$$\begin{aligned} s_p((p-1)n) &= s_q((q-1)m), \\ (p-1)a_p\left(\binom{pn}{p}\right) &= (q-1)a_q\left(\binom{qm}{q}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, note that (6) implies the following simple corollary.

Corollary 1. *For every lattice pair $(x, y) \geq (0, 0)$, we have the triangle inequality:*

$$s_p(x+y) \leq s_p(x) + s_p(y).$$

The equality attains if and only if $\binom{x+y}{x}$ is not multiple of p .

Now we can treat of Question 1 in a different foreshortening. Consider the equation

$$(8) \quad s_p(x) + s_p(n-x) - s_p(n) = k(p-1), \quad x \in [0, 1, \dots, n].$$

For $k \geq 0$, denote $\lambda_p^{(k)}(n)$ the number of solutions of (8). Thus we see that

$$(9) \quad |A_{n, k}^{(p)}| = \lambda_p^{(k)}(n), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

In 1852, Kummer [6] made an important observation (a proof one can find, e.g., in [3]):

$a_p(\binom{n}{x})$ is the number of "carries" which appear in adding x and $n - x$ in base p .

This statement plays a large role in the Everett's matrix method which was used for receiving his general formula.

Another important result was obtained by Lucas [8]. He proved that if together with (3)

$$(10) \quad t = t_0 p^m + t_1 p^{m-1} + \dots + t_m, \quad 0 \leq t_i \leq p - 1.$$

then

$$(11) \quad \binom{n}{t} \equiv \prod_{i=0}^m \binom{n_i}{t_i} \pmod{p}.$$

From (11) immediately follows the next corollary ([2]).

Corollary 2.

$$(12) \quad \lambda_p^{(0)}(n) = (n_0 + 1)(n_1 + 1) \dots (n_m + 1).$$

Proof. Indeed, (12) gives the number of all nonzero products in (11), when $0 \leq t_i \leq n_i$, $i = 0, \dots, m$. Since $t_i, n_i \in [0, \dots, p - 1]$, then none of the considered products is divisible of p . ■

Note that in the binary case in (12) sufficiently consider only factors with $n_i = 1$. Therefore, we have

$$(13) \quad \lambda_2^{(0)}(n) = 2^{s_2(n)}.$$

The latter is known result of J.Glaisher (1899; in [4] A.Granville gives a new elegant proof; generalizations in other direct see in [4]-[5]).

3. PROOF OF NECESSITY

A proof of necessity we easily derive from *only* the first condition of Definition 1, i.e. from the equality $|A_{n,0}^{(p)}| = \alpha_0 p^\nu$. In connection with (3), note that $\nu \neq m$ only when $n_0 = n_1 = \dots = n_m = p - 1$. This a Zumkeller's number in base p . In other cases we consider the equality $|A_{n,0}^{(p)}| = \alpha_0 p^m$. In its turn, $\alpha_0 \neq n_0 \leq p - 2$ only when $n_1 = \dots = n_m = p - 1$. In this case we also have a Zumkeller's number in base p . Let now n be a binomial predictor in base p , when $n_0 = p - 1$, but not all n_i equal $p - 1$. Thus, we have

$$(14) \quad |A_{n,0}^{(p)}| = (p - 1)p^m.$$

From this equality and Corollary 2 we conclude that exactly m from $m + 1$ brackets in product (12) equal p , while some one bracket equals $p - 1$. This means that exactly $m - 1$ digits from $n_1, \dots, n_m$ equal to $p - 1$, while some one digit equals $p - 2$. Thus in this case we again have a Zumkeller's number in base p . ■

4. PROOF OF SUFFICIENCY

Here we use the Kummer's theorem in the following equivalent form:

$a_p(\binom{n}{x})$ is the number of "carries" which appear in subtracting x from n in base p .

Let n be an Zumkeller's number. Evidently, in the trivial case when $n = p^{m+1} - 1 = \underbrace{(p-1) \vee (p-1) \vee \dots \vee (p-1)}_{m+1}$ we have a binomial predictor in

base p (we use $\vee$ as operator of concatenation). Indeed, here $n+1 = p^{m+1}$ and, by (9) and (12), $|A_{n,0}^{(p)}| = p^{m+1}$. On the another hand, for every $x \leq n$, in the subtracting x from n in base p we have no any "carries", i.e. $|A_{n,k}^{(p)}| = 0$, $k \geq 1$. Analogously, we have a binomial predictor in case $n = n_0 \vee \underbrace{(p-1) \vee (p-1) \vee \dots \vee (p-1)}_m$, $n_0 \leq p-2$. Indeed, here

$n+1 = (n_0+1)p^m$ and, by (9) and (12), $|A_{n,0}^{(p)}| = (n_0+1)p^m$. and again we have no any "carries" during the subtracting x from n in base p . Let now n have a unique digit $p-1$ in its expansion in base p . Consider such n of the general form:

$$(15) \quad n = \underbrace{(p-1) \vee \dots \vee (p-1)}_t \vee (p-2) \vee \underbrace{(p-1) \vee \dots \vee (p-1)}_{m-t}.$$

Then

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} n+1 &= \underbrace{(p-1) \vee \dots \vee (p-1)}_{t+1} \vee \underbrace{0 \vee \dots \vee 0}_{m-t} = \\ &= (p-1)(p^m + p^{m-1} + \dots + p^{m-t}). \end{aligned}$$

Let the x in base p has the form:

$$(17) \quad x_0 \vee \dots \vee x_{t-1} \vee x_t \vee x_{t+1} \vee \dots \vee x_m.$$

If $x_t \leq p-2$, then in subtracting x from n in base p we have not "carries". Evidently, that the number of such x 's is

$$(18) \quad |A_{n,0}^{(p)}| = p^t(p-1)p^{m-t} = (p-1)p^m.$$

If $x_t = p-1$, such that also

$$(19) \quad x_t = x_{t-1} = x_{t-2} = \dots = x_r = p-1,$$

then, in view of $x \leq n$, the length of this chain is not more than t , i.e.

$$(20) \quad 1 \leq r \leq t.$$

In this case the number of "carries" is equal to the length of the chain, i.e. $t-r+1$, and, by Kummer's theorem, we have

$$(21) \quad x \in A_{n,t-r+1}^{(p)}.$$

Putting

$$(22) \quad t - r + 1 = k,$$

we easily calculate $|A_{n,k}^{(p)}|$:

$$(23) \quad |A_{n,k}^{(p)}| = p^{m+1-k} \cdot \frac{p-1}{p} = (p-1)p^{m-k}, \quad k = 1, \dots, t,$$

where the factor $\frac{p-1}{p}$ corresponds to the digit x_{r-1} , i.e. the place after the end of chain (19). Comparison of (18) and (23) with (16) shows that n is a binomial predictor. This completes the proof of Theorem 1. ■

Acknowledgment. The author is grateful to J.-P. Allouche for sending a scanned copy of pp. 10-12 of Legendre book [7], and to D. Berend for important advises.

REFERENCES

- [1] . W. B. Everett, *Number of binomial coefficients divisible by a fixed power of a prime*, INTEGERS, 8(2008), #A11.
- [2] . N. J. Fine, *Binomial coefficients modulo a prime*, Amer. Math. Monthly, 54 (1947), 589–592.
- [3] . A. Fraenkel, A. Kontorovich, *The Sierpiński sieve of nim-varieties and binomial coefficients*, INTEGERS, 7(2)(2007), #A14.
- [4] . A. Granville, *Zaphod Beeblebrox's brain and the fifty-ninth row of Pascal's triangle*, Amer. Math. Monthly, 99, no. 4 (1992), 318–331; 104, no. 9 (1997), 848–851.
- [5] . J. G. Huard, B. K. Spearman, K. S. Williams, *Pascal's triangle (mod 8)*, Europ. J. Combin., 19, no.1 (1998), 45–62.
- [6] . E. E. Kummer, *„Über die Ergänzungsätze zu den allgemeinen Reciprocitätsgesetzen*, J. Reine Angew Math. 44 (1852), 93–146.
- [7] . A.-M. Legendre, *Théorie de Nombres*, Firmin Didot Frères, Paris, 1830.
- [8] . E. Lucas, *Théory des fonctions numériques simplement périodiques*, Amer. J. Math. 1(1878), 184–240, 289–321.
- [9] . J.-C. Puschta, J. Spilker, *Altes und neues zur Quersumme* Math. Semesterber. 49 (2002), 209–226.
- [10] . V. Shevelev, *On divisibility of $\binom{n-i-1}{i-1}$ by i* , Int. J. of Number Theory, 3, no.1 (2007), 119–139.
- [11] . V. Shevelev, *Compact integers and factorials*, Acta Arith., 126.3 (2007), 195–236.
- [12] . N. J. A. Sloane, *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences* (<http://www.research.att.com>)
- [13] . E. Trost, *Primzahlen*, Birk häuser-Verlag, 1953.

DEPARTMENTS OF MATHEMATICS, BEN-GURION UNIVERSITY OF THE NEGEV, BEER-SHEVA 84105, ISRAEL. E-MAIL:SHEVELEV@BGU.AC.IL